



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/818,783	03/28/2001	Akira Noda	0445-0295P	1034
2292	7590 07/29/2003			
	WART KOLASCH &	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ANDERSON, CATHARINE L	
I ALLS CITO	TABLE CHORCH, VA 22040-0747			·
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003	
				17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/818,783	NODA ET AL.
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	C. Lynne Anderson	3761
Period for Reply	·	viul the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period o - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I36(a). In no event, however, may a by within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 i	<u>May 2003</u> .	·
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are pending in the appli	ication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	. ,
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10 and 11</u> is/are rejected.	•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	-, ,	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	·
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	·	
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorapplication from the International But* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tao et al. (WO 99/60973) in view of Morman et al. (5,883,028).

Tao discloses all aspects of the claimed invention, but remains silent as to the L* and C* values of the printed area, the light transmittance of the nonwoven material, and the basis weight of the nonwoven material used in the backsheet.

Tao discloses an absorbent article, as shown in figure 10, comprising a liquid-permeable topsheet 54, a liquid retentive absorbent member 66, and a liquid-impermeable backsheet 52. The backsheet 52 is constructed from a breathable film material, as disclosed on page 5, lines 22-27. The film is printed with a multicolored graphic pattern, as disclosed on page 6, lines 21-28. A nonwoven material is laminated to the outer side of the film, as disclosed on page 9, lines 9-12. Tao discloses performing color difference tests on his backsheet film material, determining the preferred b* value for the material is between 0.0 and 0.5, making the material white or very close to it.

Art Unit: 3761

Tao does not disclose performing color difference tests on the printed area of the backsheet 52. Tao does, however, disclose using bright colors, such as royal blue, sky blue, and dark blue, in the printed area of the backsheet 52, as described on page 6, lines 21-26. These colors represent a wide range of shades ranging from light to dark, with royal blue clearly being neither very light nor very dark. The L* value is a measure of the darkness of a color, with 100 being so light it is white, and 0 being so dark it is black. Royal blue, being neither very light nor very dark, inherently lies somewhere near the center of the range, and therefore would fall into the range for the L* value disclosed in the instant claim 10. The printed areas of Tao therefore inherently meet the limitations of the instant claim 10.

The graphics disclosed by Tao in figure 10 are designed to be highly visible and clear. They inherently have chroma, though Tao remains silent as to the C* value giving a quantitative measure to the chroma. To produce a clear and visible graphic, printing colors with a high degree of quality, or chroma, is desired. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to print the graphics with a C* value of between 20 and 120, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Tao discloses printing designs on the backsheet of a diaper that are visible through the nonwoven material laminated to the backsheet. It is well-known that a nonwoven material that does not allow suitable light transmittance will hinder both the visibility of the printed designs and the breathability of the backsheet. Though Tao

Art Unit: 3761

remains silent as to the value of the light transmittance, the nonwoven material disclosed by Tao inherently has a light transmittance value, and the light transmittance of the nonwoven material must be sufficient to allow the designs printed on the backsheet 52 to be visible. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to construct a nonwoven material with a light transmittance of 40 to 83%, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Tao discloses laminating a nonwoven material to a breathable film material with a basis weight of 20 to 40 g/m², as described on page 8, lines 4-9. Tao, however, remains silent as to the basis weight of the nonwoven material itself.

Morman discloses a material for use as a diaper backsheet 40 comprising a breathable film 32 with a nonwoven material 12 laminated to its outer side, as described in column 1, lines 5-8, and column 9, lines 25-33. Morman discloses a basis weight of the nonwoven material, the necked web, as being between 15 and 50 g/m² in column 11, lines 44-46. A nonwoven material having this basis weight strengthens the film to which it is laminated without reducing the breathability of the film, as disclosed in column 11, lines 53-54. It is noted by the examiner that the reference numbers used by Morman do not appear to be consistent throughout the disclosure. Morman does, however, clearly disclose a laminate comprising a film and a necked web, the necked web having a basis weight of between 15 and 50 g/m².

Art Unit: 3761

It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to construct the nonwoven material of Tao with a basis weight of between 15 and 50 g/m², as taught by Morman, to provide sufficient strength without reducing breathability.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tao et al. (WO 99/60973) in view of Morman et al. (5,883,028), as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of McCormack et al. (WO 00/38915).

Tao discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of a b* value less than 0 and greater than -0.5.

Tao discloses on page 5, lines 12-13, that consumer acceptance for films having a yellow tint is low.

McCormack discloses a film laminate for use as a backsheet of an absorbent article, as described on page 1, lines 4-5 and 9-10. The film has a b* value of -0.2, as disclosed on page 22, lines 27-29, which results in a significantly reduced yellow tint.

It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to construct the backsheet of Tao such that it has a b* value of –0.2, as taught by McCormack, in order to reduce the yellow appearance of the backsheet, since Tao discloses a yellow appearance is undesirable.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view

Art Unit: 3761

of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Lynne Anderson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on (703) 308-1957. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 306-4520 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3761

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Cla July 23, 2003

> WEILUN LO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700